

As of June 30, 2020


## Region 5: Southwest Washington/Olympic Peninsula

Clallam, Clark, Cowlitz, Grays Harbor, Jefferson, Kitsap, Lewis, Mason, Pacific, Skamania, Thurston & Wahkiakum counties

### Tracts with Low Self-Response Rates

Find the following information in the table below:

1. The 2020 Census tract number, the county in which this tract is located, and the nearest city/place to that Census tract *(Note: some tracts have been displayed with an enlarged point to be viewable at the regional scale)*
2. The self-response rate of this tract to date
3. The "hard-to-survey" populations contributing to a potentially lower response rate for that tract as identified by the Bureau
4. The 2020 Census outreach and education coalition partners located nearest to that tract and any other helpful demographic geographic characteristics that may facilitate targeted outreach



Map #	Tract # County Nearest City/Place	Response Rate	Predicted Low Response Factors (per Census Bureau)	Nearest Coalition Partners/ Relevant Tract Features
1	<b>Tract:</b> 9505 <b>County:</b> Skamania <b>City/Place:</b> Carson	33.2%  Difference from 2010 Mail-in: -42.1%	80% White 11% Latino 37% renters 18% below poverty level \$38,000 median household income 28% no internet	SW WA Communities United For Change, The Noble Foundation
2	<b>Tract:</b> 24 <b>County:</b> Clallam <b>City/Place:</b> Ozette Lake	35.2%  Difference from 2010 Mail-in: -36.1%	67% White 22% American Indian/Alaska Native 19% renters 16% below poverty level \$51,400 median household income 21% no internet 41% Update Leave	(Near Makah Reservation)
3	<b>Tract:</b> 9400 <b>County:</b> Clallam <b>City/Place:</b> Makah Reservation	35.2%  (No mail return option in 2010)	78% American Indian/Alaska Native 32% renters \$37,900 median household income 20% no internet 98% Update Leave 14% vacant	Makah Reservation
4	<b>Tract:</b> 9503 <b>County:</b> Mason <b>City/Place:</b> Stevenson	39.3%  Difference from 2010 Mail-in: -37.2%	82% White 10% Latino 24% renters 13% below poverty level 27% vacant \$63,400 median household income	SW WA Communities United For Change, The Noble Foundation

5	<b>Tract:</b> 9604.03 <b>County:</b> Mason <b>City/Place:</b> Allyn	39.5%  Difference from 2010 Mail-in: -46.3%	82% White 10% Latino 24% renters 13% below poverty level \$63,400 median household income 27% vacant	Hazel Pete Institute of Chehalis Basketry
---	---	--	---	--

## Response Rates for Native Communities

This table highlights the response rates in tribal areas across SE Washington/Olympic Peninsula. Where data are available, low response factors listed below display relevant statistics for those living in the listed Tribe's lands (including reservation and off-reservation trust land).

Tribe	County	Response Rate	Predicted Low Response Factors (per Census Bureau)
Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation	Grays Harbor/Thurston	47.0%*  Difference from 2010 Mail-in: +22%	46.2% American Indian/Alaska Native 52% white 16.4% Latino 61.4% renters 22.5% below poverty level \$56,900 median household income 16.4% no internet
Cowlitz Reservation	Clark/Cowlitz	No Data	No Data
Hoh Indian Reservation	Jefferson	48.4%*  Difference from 2010 Mail-in: +5.1%	91.5% American Indian/Alaska Native 12.3% Latino 29.6% renters 48.1% below poverty level \$26,300 median household income 40.7% no internet 29.6% single female head of household with children
Jamestown S'Klallam Indian Reservation	Clallam	No Data	77.8% American Indian/Alaska Native 100% renters 55.6% below poverty level \$41,900 median household income 40% no internet 20% single female head of household with children
Lower Elwha Indian Reservation	Clallam	62.8%  Difference from 2010 Mail-in: +16.1%	84.4% American Indian/Alaska Native 13.1% Latino 41.6% renters 29% below poverty level \$32,400 median household income 16.8% no internet
Makah Indian Reservation**	Clallam	35.0%*  (No mail-return in 2010)	91.5% American Indian/Alaska Native 30.9% renters 22.8% below poverty level \$40,000 median household income 21.3% no internet 12.3% single female head of household with children
Nisqually Indian Reservation	Thurston	56.7%  Difference from 2010 Mail-in: +0.4%	67.7% American Indian/Alaska Native 7.2% Latino 20.5% renters 16.8% below poverty level \$59,200 median household income 25.5% no internet

Port Gamble Indian Reservation	Kitsap	77.4% Difference from 2010 Mail-in: +24.3%	83.8% American Indian/Alaska Native 41% renters 30.8% below poverty level \$38,600 median household income 13.3% no internet 25% single female head of household with children
Quileute Indian Reservation	Clallam	51.6%* Difference from 2010 Mail-in: +17.8%	93.1% American Indian/Alaska Native 4.2% white 2.4% Latino 55% renters 37.2% below poverty level \$43,100 median household income 31% no internet
Quinault Indian Nation	Grays Harbor/Jefferson	40.6%* Difference from 2010 Mail-in: -4.1%	82.5% American Indian/Alaska Native 36.8% renters 26.2% below poverty level \$41,300 median household income 31% no internet
Shoalwater Bay Indian Reservation	Pacific	51.2% Difference from 2010 Mail-in: -10.3%	73.1% American Indian/Alaska Native 18.9% renters 14.1% below poverty level \$51,300 median household income 27% no internet
Skokomish Indian Reservation	Mason	45.8% Difference from 2010 Mail-in: +1.4%	71.5% American Indian/Alaska Native 26.3% renters 28.5% below poverty level \$47,300 median household income 22.5% no internet
Squaxin Island Indian Reservation	Mason/Thurston	48.3% Difference from 2010 Mail-in: +10.0%	70.4% American Indian/Alaska Native 14.3% Latino 67.2% renters 29.5% below poverty level \$41,600 median household income 16.1% no internet
Port Madison Reservation (Suquamish Indian Reservation)	Kitsap	62.4% Difference from 2010 Mail-in: +10.3%	11.2% American Indian/Alaska Native 80% white 22.2% renters 9.8% below poverty level \$67,300 median household income 9.4% no internet
Chinook Indian Tribe	Pacific	No Data	No Data

\*Note: Households within this area are a part of the Census [Update Leave](#) operation. Due to COVID-19, households in Update Leave areas have recently started to receive packets from the Census Bureau with information on how to complete the Census which has likely resulted in a lower response rate at this time.

(continued on next page)

## Tracts with Relatively High Percentages of Seasonally Vacant Units *and* Low Response Rates

This table highlights tracts with low self-response rates to date that contain a relatively high percentage (>30%) of seasonally vacant units (e.g., summer homes, second residences, cabins) where many respondents likely have a “usual home elsewhere,” targeted outreach may not be effective, and Census Bureau staff will classify many of the seasonally vacant homes during nonresponse follow-up.

Map #	Tract # County Nearest City/Place	Response Rate
6	Tract: 9501 County: Skamania City/Place: Swift Reservoir	8.0%
7	Tract: 9505.02 County: Pacific City/Place: Skokomish	14.9%
8	Tract: 9601 County: Mason	19.6%
9	Tract: 9506 County: Pacific City/Place: Long Beach	22.1%
10	Tract: 9602.01 County: Mason City/Place: Hoodspport	24.6%

## Additional

The following tracts exhibit low response rates but also contain schools, military bases and other facilities whose residents will be enumerated via the Group Quarters or other Census operations, so targeted outreach within these tracts may not be as effective as in other tracts with low response rates.

Tract	County	Nearest City/Place
808	Kitsap	Bremerton
814	Kitsap	Bremerton