

As of April 27, 2020


Region 5: Southwest Washington/Olympic Peninsula

Clallam, Clark, Cowlitz, Grays Harbor, Jefferson, Kitsap, Lewis, Mason, Pacific, Skamania, Thurston & Wahkiakum counties



Tracts with Low Self-Response Rates

Find the following information in the table below:

1. The 2020 Census tract number, the county in which this tract is located, and the nearest city/place to that Census tract (*Note: some tracts have been displayed with an enlarged point to be viewable at the regional scale*)
2. The self-response rate of this tract to date
3. The "hard-to-survey" populations contributing to a potentially lower response rate for that tract as identified by the Bureau
4. The 2020 Census outreach and education coalition partners located nearest to that tract and any other helpful demographic geographic characteristics that may facilitate targeted outreach



Overview Map



Map #	Tract # County Nearest City/Place	Response Rate	Predicted Low Response Factors (per Census Bureau)	Nearest Coalition Partners and Relevant Tract Features
1	Tract: 9505 County: Skamania City/Place: Carson	26.0% Difference from 2010 Mail-In:-49.3%	11% Latino 6% American Indian/Alaska Native 37% renters 28% no internet 20% non-white 18% below poverty level \$39,000 median household income	SW WA Communities United For Change, The Noble Foundation
2	Tract: 814 County: Kitsap City/Place: Bremerton	26.8% Difference from 2010 Mail-In:-61.8%	20% non-white 8% Latino 7% black 15% below poverty level 100% renters 19% vacant	United Way of Kitsap County, NAACP Bremerton Branch, Kitsap Community Resources, Scarlet Road
3	Tract: 9604.03 County: Mason City/Place: Allyn	32.2% Difference from 2010 Mail-In:-53.6%	10% Latino 24% renters 19% moved in 2015 or later 27% vacant 13% below poverty level	APIC SPS, Holly Ridge Center, Northwest Kenyan Community Association
4	Tract: 3 County: Clallam City/Place: Forks	32.9% Difference from 2010 Mail-In:-43.3%	18% Latino 39% renters 28% below poverty level \$37,000 median household income 24% no internet 21% moved in 2015 or late	Olympic Community Action Programs
5	Tract: 9718 County: Lewis	33.0% Difference from 2010 Mail-In:-49.6%	97% white 27% update/leave 21% no internet 18% renters \$53000 median household income	Eatonville Area Council/Eatonville Family Agency, APIC-SPS

Response Rates for Native Communities

This table highlights the response rates in tribal areas across SE Washington/Olympic Peninsula. Where data are available, low response factors listed below display relevant statistics for those living in the listed Tribe's lands (including reservation and off-reservation trust land).

Tribe	County	Response Rate	Predicted Low Response Factors (per Census Bureau)
Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Reservation	Grays Harbor/Thurston	29.9%* Difference from 2010 Mail-In: +4.9%	46.2% American Indian/Alaska Native 52% white 16.4% Latino 61.4% renters 22.5% below poverty level \$56,900 median household income 16.4% no internet
Cowlitz Reservation	Clark/Cowlitz	No Data	No Data
Hoh Indian Reservation	Jefferson	12.9% Difference from 2010 Mail-In: -30.4%	91.5% American Indian/Alaska Native 12.3% Latino 29.6% renters 48.1% below poverty level \$26,300 median household income 40.7% no internet 29.6% single female head of household with children
Jamestown S'Klallam Indian Reservation	Clallam	No Data	77.8% American Indian/Alaska Native 100% renters 55.6% below poverty level \$41,900 median household income 40% no internet 20% single female head of household with children
Lower Elwha Indian Reservation	Clallam	34.0% Difference from 2010 Mail-In: -12.7%	84.4% American Indian/Alaska Native 13.1% Latino 41.6% renters 29% below poverty level \$32,400 median household income 16.8% no internet
Makah Indian Reservation	Clallam	11.7%* (No mail-return in 2010)	91.5% American Indian/Alaska Native 30.9% renters 22.8% below poverty level \$40,000 median household income 21.3% no internet 12.3% single female head of household with children
Nisqually Indian Reservation	Thurston	43.8% Difference from 2010 Mail-In: -12.5%	67.7% American Indian/Alaska Native 7.2% Latino 20.5% renters 16.8% below poverty level \$59,200 median household income 25.5% no internet
Port Gamble Indian Reservation	Kitsap	73.9% Difference from 2010 Mail-In: +20.8%	83.8% American Indian/Alaska Native 41% renters 30.8% below poverty level \$38,600 median household income 13.3% no internet 25% single female head of household with children

Quileute Indian Reservation	Clallam	26.4%* Difference from 2010 Mail-In: -7.4%	93.1% American Indian/Alaska Native 4.2% white 2.4% Latino 55% renters 37.2% below poverty level \$43,100 median household income 31% no internet
Quinault Indian Nation	Grays Harbor/Jefferson	20.5%* Difference from 2010 Mail-In: -24.2%	82.5% American Indian/Alaska Native 36.8% renters 26.2% below poverty level \$41,300 median household income 31% no internet
Shoalwater Bay Indian Reservation	Pacific	48.8% Difference from 2010 Mail-In: -12.7%	73.1% American Indian/Alaska Native 18.9% renters 14.1% below poverty level \$51,300 median household income 27% no internet
Skokomish Indian Reservation	Mason	37.4% Difference from 2010 Mail-In: -7%	71.5% American Indian/Alaska Native 26.3% renters 28.5% below poverty level \$47,300 median household income 22.5% no internet
Squaxin Island Indian Reservation	Mason/Thurston	40.7% Difference from 2010 Mail-In: +2.4%	70.4% American Indian/Alaska Native 14.3% Latino 67.2% renters 29.5% below poverty level \$41,600 median household income 16.1% no internet
Port Madison Reservation (Suquamish Indian Reservation)	Kitsap	52% Difference from 2010 Mail-In: -.1%	11.2% American Indian/Alaska Native 80% white 22.2% renters 9.8% below poverty level \$67,300 median household income 9.4% no internet
Chinook Indian Tribe	Pacific	No Data	No Data

*Note: Households within this area are a part of the Census [Update Leave](#) operation. Due to COVID-19, households in Update Leave areas have not received packets from the Census Bureau with information on how to complete the Census which has likely resulted in a lower response rate at this time.

Tracts with Relatively High Percentages of Seasonally Vacant Units *and* Low Response Rates

This table highlights tracts with low self-response rates to date that contain a relatively high percentage (>30%) of seasonally vacant units (e.g., summer homes, second residences, cabins) where many respondents likely have a “usual home elsewhere,” targeted outreach may not be effective, and Census Bureau staff will classify many of the seasonally vacant homes during nonresponse follow-up.

Map #	Tract # County Nearest City/Place	Response Rate
6	Tract: 9505.02 County: Pacific City/Place: Skokomish	7.5%
7	Tract: 2.02 County: Grays Harbor	11.3%
8	Tract: 9506 County: Pacific City/Place: Long Beach	13.0%
9	Tract: 9601 County: Mason	13.7%
10	Tract: 9602.01 County: Mason	15.0%

Additional

The following tracts exhibit low response rates but also contain schools, military bases and other facilities whose residents will be enumerated via the Group Quarters or other Census operations, so targeted outreach within these tracts may not be as effective as in other tracts with low response rates.

Tract	County	Nearest City/Place
808	Kitsap	Bremerton